ORIGINAL.



18 June 2004

## RECEIVED

Clerk

2004 JUN 21 A 11: 45

Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007-2996

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

Re: Ash Fork Water Rate Increase - W01004B-03-0722

Enclosed please find one (1) duplicate original and thirteen (13) conformed copies of an Intervenor originated response titled:

Kempley Letter of Rebuttal

Please enter for the record.

Earl M. Hasbrouck, Intervenor

P. O. Box 1034

Ash Fork, Arizona 86320-1034

928/637-0302


EMH:mtf

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

JUN 2 1 2004

**DOCKETED BY** 





June 18, 2004

## RECEIVED

Mr. Christopher C. Kempley, Chief Counsel 2004 JUN 21 A II: 45 Arizona Corporation Commission

1200 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 87007-2996 AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

Ref: W01004B-03-0722 - Ltr s/Kempley advocating on behalf of Commissioner Jeff Hatch-Miller re Ash Fork Water.

Dear Sir:

Regarding the above referenced correspondence purportedly docketed for the record in this case by you on 09 Jun 2004, this Intervenor cannot help but wonder if, prior to his political demise, former commissioner Jim Irvin might not also have received similar advice?

The Ash Fork Water controversy commenced in 2002 after this Intervenor discovered an Arizona Corporation Commission attempt to cover up overreaching ultra vires acts performed by the utility under erroneous claim of municipal sovereignty. From the beginning, the Intervenor has made it unquestionably clear that he was informed and believes that considerable fraud, conspiracy and deceit — including, but not limited to suspected political pandering, insider trading of federal government grant-in-aid information, bid rigging, collusion, bureaucratic syndicalism and obstruction of justice — has allegedly occurred in the three<sup>1</sup> bifurcated Ash Fork Water matters. Accordingly, in this Intervenor's interpretation, the purpose of third-party intervention was, is and remains intended to enable the production of credible evidence sufficient to determine who knew what when so as to allow the Intervenor to raise issues necessary for investigation and resolution of the case by the regulatory authority. Any conflict of interest is wholly, one hundred percent unacceptable. Because the Intervenor has consistently encountered obstructionist behavior in the form of an on-going gargantuan cover-up originating from within the regulatory authority itself, it has been concluded that the Arizona Corporation Commission is a corrupt government agency clearly out of control which has refused or otherwise failed to investigate suspected wrongdoing, thus failing miserably in it's mandate to protect the Ash Fork area consumer public.

Bottom line: No matter what his political or occupational status may be, Arizona Corporation Commission commissioner Jeff Hatch-Miller is not entitled to preferential treatment. In fact, the said commissioner is duty-bound to respond to inquiries from his constituency. Based on the obstructionist nature of the above referenced correspondence, relief will be sought elsewhere.

Thank you for your interest.

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JUN 2 1 2004

**DOCKETED BY** 

**Docket Control** 

EMH:mtf

CC:

Commissioner Hatch-Miller

Ash Fork Water

Ash Fork, Arizona 86320-1034

P. O. Box 1034

928/637-0302

Docket # W1004B-02-0768; Docket #W01004B-03-0510; Docket #W01004B-03-0722 all inclusive and incorporated herein by reference.